



IEEE-TRO Review Form Preview

[Pdf test](#) [Close](#)

WARNING: Session time out

When the browser does not communicate with the server for 60 minutes the session is terminated for reasons of security and you will need to log in again. Watch the countdown timer to see when the session will expire

Five minutes before time out your work will be automatically saved. Any work done on the page after the autosave will be lost unless you prevent the session from expiring

Use the Save button near the bottom of the page to save your work without submitting it to prevent the session from expiring

Details of the Submission

Title

Authors

Submission number and version

Review requested by

Under review for publication as

Review ID

Reviewer

INSTRUCTIONS FOR REVIEWERS OF REGULAR PAPERS

- Please respect the deadline. As an author, you undoubtedly appreciate the importance of minimizing delays.
- Please provide detailed comments to the authors, including at least a few sentences justifying your recommendation. The following points are suggested for your comments:
 - What is the contribution of the paper?
 - Does the author explain the significance of this paper?
 - Is the paper clearly written and well organized?
 - Does the introduction state the purpose of the paper?
 - Are the references relevant and complete? Supply missing references.
 - If the paper is not technically sound, why not?
 - If the paper is too long for its category, how can it be shortened?
 - In particular, if you recommend to revise and resubmit or to conditionally accept the paper as Short, please provide indications to the authors on how to accomplish this reduction.
 - If the paper is intended for a Special Issue, please comment on its pertinence.

Supply any information that you think will be useful to the author for a revision, for enhancing the appeal of the paper, or for convincing him/her of the weak points or mistakes. The reviewer's recommendation for acceptance or rejection should not be included in the comments to the author. Do not identify yourself or your organization within the review text.

- In your critical comments to the author, please be specific. If you find that the results are already known, please give references to earlier papers which contain these or similar results. If you say that the reasoning is incorrect or vague, please indicate specifically where and why. If you suggest that the paper be rewritten, give specific suggestions as to which parts of the paper should be deleted, amplified or modified, and please indicate how.
- If the paper has a multimedia attachment (typically, a video clip), please evaluate this in the Comments Section. Is it consistent with the paper content? Does it enhance the paper quality? If it is a video, how is the technical quality? Is it free of commercialism?
- Please note the following T-RO policy: If a paper is submitted as Regular, there is no direct recommendation of

acceptance as Short. In view of the presumably large changes needed, the paper should first be conditionally accepted as Short.

- The paper review procedure for the IEEE Transactions on Robotics involves a **recommendation** prepared by the Associate Editor on the basis of the paper reviews by peers. The final **decision** on publication, sustaining or modifying this recommendation, is taken by the Editor handling the paper.

RECOMMENDATION SECTION FOR REGULAR PAPERS

*Required field

Overall Recommendation*

Accept as Regular Paper provided that all typographic errors and minor recommendations are corrected in the final version

Conditionally Accept as Regular Paper provided that minor revisions are made as indicated in the Comments Section

Conditionally Accept as Short Paper provided that minor revisions are made as indicated in the Comments Section

Revise and Resubmit as Regular Paper as indicated in the Comments Section (if resubmitted, the revised paper should undergo a full review cycle keeping past review material into account)

Revise and Resubmit as Short Paper as indicated in the Comments Section (if resubmitted, the revised paper should undergo a full review cycle keeping past review material into account)

Reject the Paper (future submission of this work will be treated as a new paper)

Submit to Another Journal

Please check if you wish to see the revision ☐

Should this paper be considered for the annual BEST T-RO PAPER AWARD? ☐

If the paper has a multimedia attachment, should this be included in case of final acceptance? ☐

If you have recommended submission to another journal, please indicate which one

ASSESSMENT/EVALUATION. PLEASE RATE THE PAPER ACCORDING TO THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA:

*Required field

Paper Contribution*

Technical Quality*

Originality*

Thoroughness of Results*

Clarity of Presentation* Adequacy of Citations* Relevance to the Field* **COMMENTS SECTION**

*Required field

**Confidential
Comments to the
Editorial Staff**Not more than 2000
characters (about 33
full lines)[Check length](#) [Fit to
size](#)**Comments to the
Author***Not more than 9000
characters (about
150 full lines)[Check length](#) [Fit to
size](#)

Please discuss:

- Major Contribution of the Paper
- Organization and Style
- Technical Accuracy
- Presentation
- Adequacy of Citations
- Multimedia (if present)

**Upload an
attachment to
the Comments to
the Author
(optional)**Pdf file of size less
than 1 MBUse this for including
additional material
(e.g., review with
equations or
graphs). Please
mention this
attachment in your
Comments to the
Author. Click on Submit to upload the file
[All pdf information fields are automatically edited
to remove any reference to the author of the document](#)

Save or Submit the Review	
Save the possibly incomplete review to complete and submit it later	<div>Save</div> <p>Warning: If you save the review then it has not been submitted Any attachment that you try to upload will not be saved Do not forget to return to this page to complete the review and submit it</p>
Send me a copy of the review by e-mail upon submission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Submit the review	<div>Submit</div> <p>Warning: Please check the review carefully before submitting it. You will not be able to revise the review after it has been submitted After you have submitted the review, click on the status link of the review in your reviews list to see the reviews that are available for this paper (including your own) and, eventually, the publication decision</p>
Close	

Technical Content © IEEE Robotics & Automation Society



This site is protected by copyright and trademark laws under US and International law.
All rights reserved. © 2002-2007 PaperCept, Inc.
[Terms of use.](#)